

APPENDIX 5

Herd, Michael

From: Herd, Michael
Sent: 30 June 2014 07:41
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Bond A - Shad Thames - objection to proposed waiting restrictions
Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your reply and understand that you wish to maintain your objection to the proposed double yellow lines in the Shad Thames area.

A report detailing your objection will be sent to the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe community council on 21 July 2014 where it will be presented for determination.

Regards

Michael Herd

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 June 2014 16:29
To: Herd, Michael
Subject: Re: [REDACTED] - Shad Thames - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Dear Mr Herd

Thank you for your reply.

The number of double yellow lines proposed would appear disproportionate to the objections from one resident. At the moment I wish to maintain my objection.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

On 23 Jun 2014, at 15:20, "Herd, Michael" <Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your objection to the double yellow lines proposed in the Shad Thames area.

Yellow lines proposal

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by a resident who reported ongoing problems of vehicles parking in front of their dropped kerb which is there to enable vehicles to leave the carriageway cross the footway and enter the private property.

It is an offence^[1] to park adjacent to a dropped kerb (leading to multiple properties) irrespective of the presence or absence of any type of yellow line (single or double). In Southwark, enforcement is routinely carried out against vehicles that break this rule.

The offence of parking adjacent to a dropped kerb is enforceable at any time and the single yellow line in G CPZ, operates Monday to Friday 8.30am - 6.30pm, this send a mixed message to drivers

As part of the assessment into the location, the council also been identified that there are 57 vehicular crossovers in the Shad Thames area and about one third of them only have a single yellow line adjacent to them.

A report was sent to the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe community council on 19 March 2014 seeking approval to carry out a statutory consultation on the proposed double yellow lines planned for vehicle dropped kerbs on Maguire Street, Gainsford Street, Queen Elizabeth Street, Lafone Street and Curlew Street. See [report here](#)

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access. If you would like us to look again at certain locations please let me know where as we are happy to discuss these with you.

In view of the above explanation, please could you confirm to me if you wish to withdraw your objection or if you would prefer to maintain your objection.

If you do wish to maintain your objection, a report detailing any un-withdrawn objections will be sent to Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Community Council for a final decision. Should this occur, we will write to advise you of the decision.

Regards

Michael Herd
Network development officer
Public realm projects (Parking design)

[1] <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/18/section/86>

[REDACTED]
Sent: 21 June 2014 16:05

To: traffic orders

Subject: Traffic Order PRP/ND/TMO/1415-001

Dear Sir

I wish to lodge an objection to Southwark's proposed parking changes. The plan will remove 20-30 usable non-road blocking single yellow line vehicle spaces and make it difficult for residents and their visitors to park at weekends.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

Herd, Michael

From: Herd, Michael
Sent: 30 June 2014 07:44
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Brawn G - Shad Thames - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your reply and understand that you object to the proposed double yellow lines in the Shad Thames area.

A report detailing your objection will be sent to the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe community council on 21 July 2014 where it will be presented for determination.

Regards

Michael Herd

-----Original Message-----

From: Herbert, Richard On Behalf Of traffic orders
Sent: 26 June 2014 11:23
To: Herd, [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED] - Shad Thames - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 June 2014 04:56
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Own [REDACTED]
Subject: PRP /ND /TM01415-001 - Significant reduction in weekend parking in Shad Thames

FROM:

[REDACTED]

TO:

Traffic Orders Officer,
Southwark Council

RE: YOUR REFERENCE
PRP /ND /TM01415-001

Dear Sirs,

With respect to the above Order Reference and its application within Shad Thames (Maguire St, Lafone St, Gainsford St, Curlew St, etc)

I strongly object to another increase in the "at any time" parking restrictions in Shad Thames area on the following grounds:

1) I have lived in Shad Thames for 14 years, and would like to make it clear that there is NO TRAFFIC CONGESTION PROBLEM requiring a solution. To date, increases in "at any time" parking restrictions have unfortunately facilitated access for large coaches, who then use the newly free spaces as waiting areas, with engines running.

2) In 14 years, I have not witnessed or heard of a resident being unable to gain access to an entrance way due to weekend parking.

3) These proposals appear to be very poorly considered. Many (say 50%) of the new restricted spaces are simply not needed. Eg The dropped curb in Maguire St marked for "at any time", removing 1 car space, is in front of the pedestrian only entrance to The Clove office. It is not a dropped curb for vehicle access. There is no logic.

4) Many of the new restrictions appear to be opposite rather than at dropped curbs. This is inconsistently applied across the area, and does not directly impact access. This will have minimal impact on traffic flow. Eg removing 3 spaces opposite the QPark entrance on Gainsford street.

5) The new restrictions represent a massive over reaction, impacting hundreds of residents, due to what is most likely a very small localised problem experienced in 1-2 specific entrances by a very small number of people. These changes unnecessarily remove at least 30 parking spaces at weekends. Eg on Lafone street, 3 spaces removed either side of a gateway when the opposite side of the road is already double yellow.

6) Over zealously removing so many (circa 30+) parking spaces will make resident parking and local shopping at weekends extremely difficult, since the Resident Parking zones only operate mid-week. Eg I see no logic for most of the extra double yellows (say 12 spaces) on Queen Elizabeth street. Never seen congestion there.

7) There will also be a negative impact on local businesses, both shops and restaurants. Customers who won't pay for a QPark space will go elsewhere. Most businesses are dependent on customers from outside Shad Thames.

8) It is already a road traffic offence to block an entrance way. Making it a double offence may marginally help improve the situation. However, it is not necessary to over zealously remove every possible parking space within sight of every entrance way, which is the proposal.

9) For every parking space removed, a new one should be added elsewhere in Shad Thames. The logic for "at any time" restrictions on both sides of Curlew Street, from Gainsford Street to the river is very unclear - this is by far the widest road in Shad Thames with plenty of capacity for resident and metered parking.

10) These new restrictions should not be implemented without simultaneously making Resident Parking bays operate 7 days per week instead of 5 as now. Otherwise, the proposals will cause massive inconvenience at weekends.

I hope that the final proposals will:

- name those who have initiated these proposals, to be consistent with publishing the names of those raising objections.

- quantify the number and approx percentage of car park spaces being removed, and quantify the number that will then remain in Shad Thames.

I would like to thank Michael Herd for his time and assistance in understanding the

proposals.

Yours faithfully,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact a signature.

Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless device

Herd, Michael

From: Herd, Michael
Sent: 25 June 2014 11:36
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] - Gainsford St - representation re: proposed waiting restrictions
Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your comments on the proposed double yellow lines on Gainsford Street and I note your support for the proposal.

We have recieved a number of objections and it is likely that we will will be sent these objection to the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe community council for determination.

I note your request for us to look at the extent of proposed double yellow lines outside Nos.57-60.

Regards

Michael Herd

From: Herbert, Richard **On Behalf Of** traffic orders
Sent: 25 June 2014 10:32
To: Herd, Michael
Subject: [REDACTED] - Gainsford St - representation re: proposed waiting restrictions

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 June 2014 23:15
To: traffic orders
Subject: Reference PRP/ND/TMO1415-001

Hi,

I have already commented via the 'web form' on the Southwark Council Website but did not receive any message to say that the form had been submitted, so I am emailing as well..

I am commenting on the proposed double yellow lines on Gainsford Street.

I see that the description for the Gainsford Street amendment is "To provide access at any time to dropped kerbs and vehicle crossovers".

I am a resident at [REDACTED] which has a dropped kerb on the entry to the lower level garage. So I am supportive of changes to alter single yellow lines to double where there are dropped kerbs.

However there is also a run of single yellow line in front of 57 and 60 that does not need to be changed as indicated on the map on page 14.

On Gainsford street between Lafone & Curlew streets there are already two disabled bays, two solo motor cycles bays, and a two space permit holder bay, so space for three cars to park on single

01/07/2014

yellow lines outside the CPZ times does not add to visual clutter or increase any risks.

I am therefore requesting that the double yellow lines are restricted to the areas of the two dropped kerbs / vehicle cross overs for 57 and 52 (Thames Heights).

I have attached a picture that shows the area that I consider should be double lined outside #57 (I've marked it) closest to the camera, and then run of single line car parking beyond that should be left as single.

Regards

A black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.

Herd, Michael

From: Herd, Michael
Sent: 23 June 2014 08:53
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] - Shad Thames - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your objection to the double yellow lines proposed in the Shad Thames area.

Yellow lines proposal

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by a resident who reported ongoing problems of vehicles parking in front of their dropped kerb which is there to enable vehicles to leave the carriageway cross the footway and enter the private property.

It is an offence^[1] to park adjacent to a dropped kerb (leading to multiple properties) irrespective of the presence or absence of any type of yellow line (single or double). In Southwark, enforcement is routinely carried out against vehicles that break this rule.

The offence of parking adjacent to a dropped kerb is enforceable at any time and the single yellow line in G CPZ, operates Monday to Friday 8.30am - 6.30pm, this send a mixed message to drivers

As part of the assessment into the location, the council also been identified that there are 57 vehicular crossovers in the Shad Thames area and about one third of them only have a single yellow line adjacent to them.

A report was sent to the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe community council on 19 March 2014 seeking approval to carry out a statutory consultation on the proposed double yellow lines planned for vehicle dropped kerbs on Maguire Street, Gainsford Street, Queen Elizabeth Street, Lafone Street and Curlew Street. See [report here](#)

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access. If you would like us to look again at certain locations please let me know where as we are happy to discuss these with you.

In view of the above explanation, please could you confirm to me if you wish to withdraw your objection or if you would prefer to maintain your objection.

If you do wish to maintain your objection, a report detailing any un-withdrawn objections will be sent to Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Community Council for a final decision. Should this occur, we will write to advise you of the decision.

Regards

Michael Herd
Network development officer
Public realm projects (Parking design)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 June 2014 17:10

01/07/2014

To: traffic orders
Subject: PRP/ND/TMO/1415-001
Importance: High

I hereby lodge my objection to the proposed changes outlined in Traffic Order Reference PRP/ND/TMO/1415-001.

I have been a resident at [REDACTED] Shad Thames for over 8 years and have found the current arrangements entirely satisfactory and suitable to the neighbourhood and strongly object to the proposed changes at the request of one resident. My council taxes would be better spent on other items.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Herd, Michael

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 June 2014 13:52
To: Herd, Michael
Subject: Re: [REDACTED] - Shad Thames - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Just to confirm you are proposing to put double yellow lines on current single yellow lines on queen Elizabeth street from tower bridge road to curlew street only on drop curves and sight lines and not on every current single yellow line? (for example not opposite three oak lane where there is no drop curve)

Just so I can be clear before I cancel any objection.

Thanks

[REDACTED]
Sent from my iPhone

> On 25 Jun 2014, at 11:28, "Herd, Michael" <Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk> wrote:

>

> Dear [REDACTED],

>

> The double yellow lines are proposed adjacent to vehicle crossovers,
> the design take is sight lines for vehicles exiting from private
> property and its my opinion that we are not removing any legal parking spaces.

>

> Regards

>

> Michael Herd

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: anna partridge [mailto:[REDACTED]]

> Sent: 25 June 2014 11:07

> To: Herd, Mic

> Subject: Re: [REDACTED] - Shad Thames - objection to proposed waiting
> restrictions

>

> Can you confirm how many current legal parking spaces you will be
> removing by adding these double yellow lines?

>

> Thanks

>

>

> Sent from my iPhone

>

>>> On 25 Jun 2014, at 09:30, "Herd, Michael"

>> <Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk> wrote:

>>

>> Dear [REDACTED]

>>

>> Thank you for you reply, as you rightly say any driver that has taken
>> their driving test knows that they should not park in front of a
>> vehicle crossovers (dropped kerbs) but this is happening and its in
>> front dropped kerbs with single yellow lines.

>>

>> I have attached the proposal drawing showing the location for the
>> proposed double yellow lines adjacent to the dropped kerbs and I feel
>> that this is not penalising residents as these are not areas that
>> parking should be taking place and the proposal is there to assist
>> residents.

>>

>> Can I ask that you revisit the proposal and reconsider your objection.

>>

>> If you would like to to talk about the proposal you can cal me on

>> 2020

>> 7525 2131.
>>
>> Regards
>>
>> Michael
>>
>> _____
>>
>> From: [REDACTED]
>> Sent: 23 June 2014 16:18
>> To: Herd, Mic
>> Subject: Re: [REDACTED] - Shad Thames - objection to proposed
>> waiting
>
>> restrictions
>>
>>
>> That must be very frustrating for the resident involved however any
>> driver who has taken their test knows that you cannot park adjacent
>> to
>
>> a drop curb so I do not believe that by having a single yellow line
>> gives a mixed signal.
>>
>> It must be frustrating but I do not think that by penalising most
>> people in the area for a certain few that park illegally is the
>> answer. From the report it looks as though whole roads are being
>> changed which means less parking available for residents rather than
>> just changing the area where the problem lies. Therefore I would
>> like
>
>> to continue my objection.
>>
>>
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>
>> On 23 Jun 2014, at 15:38, "Herd, Michael" <
>> Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> Dear [REDACTED]
>>
>>
>>
>> Thank you for your objection to the double yellow lines proposed in
>
>> the Shad Thames area.
>>
>>
>>
>> Yellow lines proposal
>>
>> As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by a
>> resident who reported ongoing problems of vehicles parking in front
>> of
>
>> their dropped kerb which is there to enable vehicles to leave the
>> carriageway cross the footway and enter the private property.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> It is an offence[1] <outbind:///35/#_ftnl> to park adjacent to a
>> dropped kerb (leading to multiple properties) irrespective of the
>> presence or absence of any type of yellow line (single or double).
>> In

>
 >> Southwark, enforcement is routinely carried out against vehicles that
 >> break this rule.
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >> The offence of parking adjacent to a dropped kerb is enforceable at
 >
 >> any time and the single yellow line in G CPZ, operates Monday to
 >> Friday 8.30am - 6.30pm, this send a mixed message to drivers
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >> As part of the assessment into the location, the council also been
 >> identified that there are 57 vehicular crossovers in the Shad Thames
 >> area and about one third of them only have a single yellow line
 >> adjacent to them.
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >> A report was sent to the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe community
 >> council on 19 March 2014 seeking approval to carry out a statutory
 >> consultation on the proposed double yellow lines planned for vehicle
 >> dropped kerbs on Maguire Street, Gainsford Street, Queen Elizabeth
 >> Street, Lafone Street and Curlew Street. See report here
 >> <outbind://35/Thank you for your objection to the double yellow lines
 >> proposed in the Shad Thames area.>
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >> I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals
 >> which are, in short, to ensure access. If you would like us to look
 >> again at certain locations please let me know where as we are happy
 >> to
 >
 >> discuss these with you.
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >> In view of the above explanation, please could you confirm to me if
 >
 >> you wish to withdraw your objection or if you would prefer to
 >> maintain
 >
 >> your objection.
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >> If you do wish to maintain your objection, a report detailing any
 >> un-withdrawn objections will be sent to Bermondsey and Rotherhithe
 >> Community Council for a final decision. Should this occur, we will
 >> write to advise you of the decision.
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >> Regards
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >> Michael Herd
 >>
 >> Network development officer
 >>
 >> Public realm projects (Parking design)
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >>
 >> _____
 >>

```

>>
>> [1] <outbind://35/#_ftnref1>
>> http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/18/section/86
>>
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk [
>> mailto:information.administrator@southwark.gov.uk]
>> Sent: 23 June 2014 09:38
>> To: traffic orders
>> Subject: Consultation response
>>
>> [Title]
>> Miss
>>
>> stname]
>> [REDACTED]
>>
>> ]
>> [REDACTED]
>>
>> number]
>> [REDACTED]
>>
>> [REDACTED]
>>
>> [Areyou]
>> A resident
>>
>> [Whichconsultation]
>> PRP/ND/TM01415-001
>>
>> The London Borough of Southwark (Parking places) (CPZ 'B') (No.
>> *) Order 201* The London Borough of Southwark (Parking places) (CPZ
>> 'LG') (No. *) Order 201* The London Borough of Southwark (Free
>> parking
>> places) (No. *) Order 201* The London Borough of Southwark (Free
>> parking
>> places) (Solo motor cycles) (No. *) Order
>> 201*
>> The London Borough of Southwark (Waiting and loading
>> restrictions) (Amendment No. *) Order 201*
>>
>> [overallresponse]
>> 5. I wholly object to
>>
>> [response]
>> As a resident of the Shad Thames area I wholly object to the
>> replacement of single yellow lines to double yellow lines in a number
>> of streets in this area. I believe that the current parking
>> regulations
>>
>> work very well in this area. There is never any traffic or congestion
>> around this area due to the sensible current markings. As a resident
>> the single yellow lines that can be used to park at the weekends
>> makes
>>
>> it very easy for visitors and my family and friends to come and
>> visit
>>
>> without the worry of finding parking spaces or using the very
>> expensive local multi story car park. The single lines are also
>> useful
>>
>> for loading and unloading the car when moving / removing heavy items.
>> Without these single yellow lines it would be impossible to do large
>> shops/ buy heavy goods etc. I think the parking at the moment works
>> very well and does not cause any issues to residents or visitors
>> therefore I wholly object to any changes that would make life more

```

>> difficult for the residents in these roads. Regards Anna Partridge
>>
>>
>>
>> The email you received and any files transmitted with it are
>> confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege
>> and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to
>> whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please
>> notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the
>> email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not
>> copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose
>> its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where
>> opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of
>> Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any
>> changes made to the message after it has been sent.
>>
>>
>> The email you received and any files transmitted with it are
> confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege
> and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to
> whom they are addressed.
>>
>> If you have received this in error please notify us immediately.
>>
>> If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person
> responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it
> or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any
> other person. To do so may be unlawful.
>>
>> Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily
> those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible
> for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.
>>
>> <1314Q4009 Queen Elizabeth Street_1.0.pdf>
>
> The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be
covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of
the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.
>
> If you have received this in error please notify us immediately.
>
> If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for
delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any
purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful.
>
> Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of
Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the
message after it has been sent.
>

Herd, Michael

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 June 2014 12:18
To: Herd, Michael
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] - Shad Thames - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Many thanks for your prompt reply. I fully understand that dropped kerbs must be kept clear - I just hope it is ONLY the dropped kerbs that have the double yellow lines enforced and not all current single yellow lines around the area.

Yours sincerely

From: Herd, Michael [mailto:Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk]
Sent: 25 June 2014 11:53
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] - Shad Thames - objection to proposed waiting restrictions
Importance: High

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your objection to the double yellow lines proposed in the Shad Thames area.

Yellow lines proposal

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by a resident who reported ongoing problems of vehicles parking in front of their dropped kerb which is there to enable vehicles to leave the carriageway cross the footway and enter the private property.

It is an offence^{[1][1]} to park adjacent to a dropped kerb (leading to multiple properties) irrespective of the presence or absence of any type of yellow line (single or double). In Southwark, enforcement is routinely carried out against vehicles that break this rule.

The offence of parking adjacent to a dropped kerb is enforceable at any time and the single yellow line in G CPZ, operates Monday to Friday 8.30am - 6.30pm, this send a mixed message to drivers

As part of the assessment into the location, the council also been identified that there are 57 vehicular crossovers in the Shad Thames area and about one third of them only have a single yellow line adjacent to them. The proposals are to place double yellow lines adjacent to vehicle crossovers (dropped kerbs) only, see attached drawing

A report was sent to the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe community council on 19 March 2014 seeking approval to carry out a statutory consultation on the proposed double yellow lines planned for vehicle dropped kerbs on Maguire Street, Gainsford Street, Queen Elizabeth Street, Lafone Street and Curlew Street.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access. If you would like us to look again at certain locations please let me know where as we are happy to discuss these with you.

In view of the above explanation, please could you confirm to me if you wish to withdraw your objection or if you would prefer to maintain your objection.

If you do wish to maintain your objection, a report detailing any un-withdrawn objections will be sent to Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Community Council for a final decision. Should this occur, we will write to

01/07/2014

advise you of the decision.

Regards

Michael Herd
Network development officer
Public realm projects (Parking design)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 June 2014 11:35
To: traffic orders
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject:

Ref: PRP/ND/TMO/1415-001

As a resident of Dockhead Wharf I am horrified to learn of the proposal to convert most single yellow lines in my area to double yellow lines. I can see no need for this. In the evenings and at weekends, there is very little traffic and very few places where non residents can park. I am an elderly pensioner and very glad of visitors of a similar age who cannot walk from the two nearest underground stations. At the moment, parking on these side roads is orderly and does not affect through traffic. I very much hope you will reconsider this proposal.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.

Herd, Michael

From: Herd, Michael
Sent: 26 June 2014 09:19
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] Gainsford Street - objection to proposed waiting restrictions
Attachments: Appendix 3.pdf

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your objection to the double yellow lines proposed in the Shad Thames area.

Yellow lines proposal

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by a resident who reported ongoing problems of vehicles parking in front of their dropped kerb which is there to enable vehicles to leave the carriageway cross the footway and enter the private property.

It is an offence^[1] to park adjacent to a dropped kerb (leading to multiple properties) irrespective of the presence or absence of any type of yellow line (single or double). In Southwark, enforcement is routinely carried out against vehicles that break this rule.

The offence of parking adjacent to a dropped kerb is enforceable at any time and the single yellow line in G CPZ, operates Monday to Friday 8.30am - 6.30pm, this send a mixed message to drivers

As part of the assessment into the location, the council also been identified that there are 57 vehicular crossovers in the Shad Thames area and about one third of them only have a single yellow line adjacent to them.

A report was sent to the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe community council on 19 March 2014 seeking approval to carry out a statutory consultation on the proposed double yellow lines planned for vehicle dropped kerbs on Maguire Street, Gainsford Street, Queen Elizabeth Street, Lafone Street and Curlew Street. See attached Pdf drawing.

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access. If you would like us to look again at certain locations please let me know where as we are happy to discuss these with you.

In view of the above explanation, please could you confirm to me if you wish to withdraw your objection or if you would prefer to maintain your objection.

If you do wish to maintain your objection, a report detailing any un-withdrawn objections will be sent to Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Community Council for a final decision. Should this occur, we will write to advise you of the decision.

Regards

Michael Herd
Network development officer
Public realm projects (Parking design)

^[1] <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/18/section/86>

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 June 2014 17:07
To: traffic orders

01/07/2014

Subject: ND/TMO1415-001

Dear Sir / Madam,

In response to your public notice posted in Gainsford street regarding the council's proposal to convert the single yellow lines in the area to double (i.e liable to a penalty charge), we wish to record our objection to this proposed change, as we see no positive benefit in doing so, as this will simply place even greater demand on the designated resident and business permit parking bays, particularly in the evenings and on weekends when they are no longer reserved for the latter's use.

Yours sincerely,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.

Herd, Michael

From: Herd, Michael
Sent: 30 June 2014 07:43
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] Gainsford Street - objection to proposed waiting restrictions
Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your reply and understand that you wish to maintain your objection to the proposed double yellow lines in the Shad Thames area.

A report detailing your objection will be sent to the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe community council on 21 July 2014 for determination.

Regards

Michael Herd

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 June 2014 11:10
To: Herd, Michael
Subject: Re: [REDACTED] Gainsford Street - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Dear Mr. Herd,

Thank you for your response. To change single lines to double as a result to a complaint about a dropped kerb seems an over reaction. It would seem none a case of managing the dropped kerb, maybe make these areas double lines as opposed to the whole kerb? From our observation, people generally respect the dropped kerb.

As such, our objection still stands.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Herd, Michael

From: Herd, Michael
Sent: 26 June 2014 09:02
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] - Shad Thames - objection to proposed waiting restrictions
Attachments: 1314Q4009 Queen Elizabeth Street_1.0.pdf

Dear [REDACTED],

We are proposing to install double yellow lines adjacent to existing vehicle crossovers (dropped kerbs) only.

The proposed double yellow lines on Curlew Street, outside Nos.21 to 24 are proposed so drivers are aware that the highway is too narrow for parking on both sides and if they did it would obstruct the traffic. see attached drawings

I hope this answers for enquiry, please let me know if your wish to maintain for withdraw your objection.

Regards

Michael Herd

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 June 2014 21:17
To: Herd, Michael
Subject: Re: [REDACTED] - Shad Thames - objection to proposed waiting restrictions

Dear Michael,

Thank you for your email. I stand my objection if the proposal is to change all yellow lines in my area to double, however if you are only doing this for the part of the road which has a dropped kerb then I am ok with that. Please could you clarify?

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

On 23 Jun 2014, at 15:24, Herd, Michael <Michael.Herd@southwark.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your objection to the double yellow lines proposed in the Shad Thames area.

Yellow lines proposal

As background to our proposals, the council was contacted by a resident who reported ongoing problems of vehicles parking in front of their dropped kerb which is there to enable vehicles to leave the carriageway cross the footway and enter the private property.

It is an offence^[1] to park adjacent to a dropped kerb (leading to multiple properties) irrespective of the presence or absence of any type of yellow line (single or double). In Southwark, enforcement is routinely carried out against vehicles that break this rule.

The offence of parking adjacent to a dropped kerb is enforceable at any time and the single yellow line in G CPZ, operates Monday to Friday 8.30am - 6.30pm, this send a mixed message to drivers

As part of the assessment into the location, the council also been identified that there are 57

vehicular crossovers in the Shad Thames area and about one third of them only have a single yellow line adjacent to them.

A report was sent to the Bermondsey and Rotherhithe community council on 19 March 2014 seeking approval to carry out a statutory consultation on the proposed double yellow lines planned for vehicle dropped kerbs on Maguire Street, Gainsford Street, Queen Elizabeth Street, Lafone Street and Curlew Street. See [report here](#)

I hope that the above explains why we have made these proposals which are, in short, to ensure access. If you would like us to look again at certain locations please let me know where as we are happy to discuss these with you.

In view of the above explanation, please could you confirm to me if you wish to withdraw your objection or if you would prefer to maintain your objection.

If you do wish to maintain your objection, a report detailing any un-withdrawn objections will be sent to Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Community Council for a final decision. Should this occur, we will write to advise you of the decision.

Regards

Michael Herd
Network development officer
Public realm projects (Parking design)

[1] <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/18/section/86>

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 June 2014 20:25
To: traffic orders
Subject: Single Yellow Lines to be Converted to Double PRP/ND/TMO/1415-001

Reference: PRP/ND/TMO/1415-001

Dear Sir/Madam,

It has been brought to my attention that the single yellow lines near my place of residence are to be converted to double yellow lines. I would like to make you aware that I have visitors and use the existing single yellow lines to park my visitors cars and my own car on weekday evenings and weekends. I would like to strongly appose this change.

Please accept this email as a formal letter as an appeal against this change.

Should this change go ahead then I will be seeking compensation from the Council to reclaim and parking fee's, penalties etc I may be subjected to as a result of this change.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

Owner and resident at:

[REDACTED]

The email you received and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be covered by legal and/or professional privilege and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this in error please notify us immediately. If you are not the intended recipient of the email or the person responsible for delivering it to them you may not copy it, forward it or otherwise use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so may be unlawful. Where opinions are expressed in the email they are not necessarily those of Southwark Council and Southwark Council is not responsible for any changes made to the message after it has been sent.